

I. 3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
--	----------	--------------

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure examinees that pass the licensure exams	54.7%	55%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	32%	34%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	54%	55%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	95.83%	100%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	11	13
--	----	----

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	74	77
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international forums within the year	42%	44%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	12	14
--	----	----

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	1,704	1,750
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	13	15
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course / s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	66.7%	78%